Presentation of scientific article



# C-reactive protein measurement in general practice may lead to lower antibiotic prescribing for sinusitis

### Introduction:

Acute maxillary sinusitis is a diagnostic challenge in general practice and patients with viral rhinosinusitis are often, and unnecessarily, treated with antibiotics. The growth of antimicrobial resistance is the feared consequence. The study presented below indicates that implementing the CRP rapid test in the general practice has a substantial influence on the treatment of sinusitis, and may lead to a reduction in antibiotic prescribing to patients with acute sinusitis.

#### Reference:

**C-reactive protein measurement in general practice may lead to lower antibiotic prescribing for sinusitis.** Bjerrum L, Gahrn-Hansen B and Munck A. British Journal of General Practice, 2004; 54: 659-662.

#### Abstract:

**BACKGROUND:** Symptoms of bacterial sinusitis overlap with viral sinusitis, and it is difficult to distinguish between the two conditions based only on a clinical examination. Uncertain diagnosis results in the significant overuse of antibiotics, which is considered to be one of the most important reasons for development of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. A raised C-reactive protein (CRP) level is an indicator of bacterial infection and the CRP rapid test has been shown to be useful for the diagnosis of bacterial sinusitis in general practice. **AIMS:** To examine whether general practitioners (GPs) who use the CRP rapid test in their practice have a lower antibiotic prescribing rate for sinusitis than GPs who do not use the test. **DESIGN OF STUDY:** Observational design. **SETTING:** General practice in Denmark. **METHOD:** A group of GPs registered all contacts (n = 17 792) with patients who had respiratory tract infections during a 3-week period between 1 November 2001 and 31 January 2002. GPs who used a CRP rapid test were compared with GPs who did not, and the treatment of their

patients (n = 1444) with suspected sinusitis was compared. **RESULTS:** A CRP rapid test was used by 77% (n = 281) of the GPs.

In the group of GPs using a CRP rapid test, the rate of antibiotic prescribing was 59% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 56 to 62) compared with 78% (95% CI = 73 to 82) in the group of GPs who did not use a CRP test. Performing a CRP rapid test was the factor that exerted the greatest influence on whether the patients were prescribed antibiotics, and the level of CRP had a strong influence on the prescribing rate.

**CONCLUSION:** The CRP rapid test has a substantial influence on the treatment of sinusitis, and implementing the test in general practice may lead to a reduction in antibiotic prescribing to patients with sinusitis.

The abstract is downloaded from PubMed (www.pubmed.gov). Free full text article is also available via PubMed.



Testing couldn't be easier



Afinion<sup>™</sup> CRP ● No. 4 ● 2008-12 www.axis-shield-poc.com Further reading



## **C-reactive protein and sinusitis**

- 1. Bjerrum L, Gahrn-Hansen B, Munck AP. C-reactive protein measurement in general practice may lead to lower antibiotic prescribing for sinusitis. Br J Gen Pract. 2004 Sep;54(506):659-62.
- Bjerrum L, Gahrn-Hansen B, Munck AP. General practitioners who use CRP have a lower antibiotic prescribing rate to patients with sinusitis--secondary publication. Ugeskr Laeger. 2005 Jun 20;167(25-31):2775-7. Danish.
- Blomgren K, Hytonen M, Pellinen J, Relander M, Pitkaranta A. Diagnostic accuracy of acute maxillary sinusitis in adults in primary care. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2002 Mar;20(1):40-4.
- Dahler-Eriksen BS, Brandslund I, Lassen JF, Lauritzen T. Diagnostic value of C-reactive protein in bacterial infections. Review of the literature. Ugeskr Laeger. 1998 Aug 17;160(34):4855-9. Review. Danish.
- 5. Hansen JG, Dahler-Eriksen BS. C-reactive protein and infections in general practice. Ugeskr Laeger. 2000 Apr 24;162(17):2457-60. Review. Danish.
- Hansen JG, Schmidt H, Grinsted P. Penicillin treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis in adults. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial from general practice. Ugeskr Laeger. 2000 Oct 2;162(40):5351-3. Danish.
- Hansen JG, Schmidt H, Grinsted P. Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial of penicillin V in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis in adults in general practice. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2000 Mar;18(1):44-7.
- Hansen JG, Schmidt H, Rosborg J, Lund E. Predicting acute maxillary sinusitis in a general practice population. BMJ. 1995 Jul 22;311(6999):233-6.
- Lehtinen P, Jartti T, Virkki R, Vuorinen T, Leinonen M, Peltola V, Ruohola, A, Ruuskanen O. Bacterial coinfections in children with viral wheezing. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2006 Jul;25(7):463-9.
- Lindbaek M, Hjortdahl P, Johnsen UL. Use of symptoms, signs, and blood tests to diagnose acute sinus infections in primary care: comparison with computed tomography. Fam Med. 1996 Mar;28(3):183-8.
- 11. Lindbaek M, Hjortdahl P. Sinusitis in general practice--a diagnostic challenge. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen. 1993 Feb 28;113(6):700-3. Norwegian.
- 12. Lindbaek M, Hjortdahl P. The clinical diagnosis of acute purulent sinusitis in general practice a review. Br J Gen Pract. 2002 Jun;52(479):491-5. Review.
- Melbye H, Stocks N. Point of care testing for C-reactive protein a new path for Australian GPs? Aust Fam Physician. 2006 Jul;35(7):513-7. Review.
- 14. Molstad S. Reduction in antibiotic prescribing for respiratory tract infections is needed! Scand J Prim Health Care. 2003 Dec;21(4):196-8.
- Puhakka T, Makela MJ, Alanen A, Kallio T, Korsoff L, Arstila P, Leinonen M, Pulkkinen M, Suonpaa J, Mertsola J, Ruuskanen O. Sinusitis in the common cold. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1998 Sep;102(3):403-8.
- Savolainen S, Jousimies-Somer H, Karjalainen J, Ylikoski J. Do simple laboratory tests help in etiologic diagnosis in acute maxillary sinusitis? Acta Otolaryngol Suppl. 1997;529:144-7. PMID: 9288295
- Young J, Bucher H, Tschudi P, Periat P, Hugenschmidt C, Welge-Lussen A. The clinical diagnosis of acute bacterial rhinosinusitis in general practice and its therapeutic consequences. J Clin Epidemiol. 2003 Apr;56(4):377-84.

